IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
REVIEW PETITION NO. 22 OF 2005
IN

SECOND APPEAL NO. 563 OF 2005

Ashok Narayan Bonagir & ors .... Petitioner

versus

Ravindra Vithal Bonagir & anr. ... Respondents.

Shri M.R.Katikar for the applicant/petitioner

CORAM; P.V. KAKADE, J.

DATED; FEBRUARY 24, 2006.

P.C:

1. Heard the learned counsel for the Petitioner.

2. This review petition is preferred in second appeal No. 563/05 which was disposed of on merit by order dated 17-8-2005 whereby second appeal was dismissed along with civil application in limine.

3. Today the learned counsel for the petitioner submitted that in fact substantial question of law involved in this matter. However perusal of the order would show that the entire proceeding was scrutinised and this court has come to the conclusion that there was no substantial question of law involved in this appeal. According to the learned counsel the

substantial question of law was regarding licencee in gratis and similar aspects. However those were not found as question of law leave aside the element of the substantial question of law. There is no error apparent on the face of the record, which would justify the review of the said order. In the facts and circumstances, therefore, the application stands dismissed in limine.

xxx